

## Scale Construction Techniques

In social science studies, while measuring attitudes of the people we generally follow the technique of preparing the opinionnaire (or attitude scale) in such a way that the score of the individual responses assigns him a place on a scale. Under this approach, the respondent expresses his agreement or disagreement with a number of statements relevant to the issue. While developing such statements, the researcher must note the following two points:

- (i) That the statements must elicit responses which are psychologically related to the attitude being measured;
- (ii) That the statements need be such that they discriminate not merely between extremes of attitude but also among individuals who differ slightly. Researchers must as well be aware that inferring attitude from what has been recorded in opinionnaire has several limitations. People may conceal their attitudes and express socially acceptable opinions. They may not really know how they feel about a social issue. People may be unaware of their attitude about an abstract situation; until confronted with a real situation, they may be unable to predict their reaction. Even behaviour itself is at times not a true indication of attitude. For instance, when politicians kiss babies, their behaviour may not be a true expression of affection toward infants. Thus, there is no sure method of measuring attitude; we only try to measure the expressed opinion and then draw inferences from it about people's real feelings or attitudes. With all these limitations in mind, psychologists and sociologists have developed several scale construction techniques for the purpose. The researcher should know these techniques so as to develop an appropriate scale for his own study. Some of the important approaches, along with the corresponding scales developed under each approach to measure attitude are

### Differential Scales (or Thurston-type Scales)

Thurston scale is defined as a unidimensional scale that is used to track respondent's behaviour, attitude or feeling towards a subject.

The name of L.L. Thurston is associated with differential scales which have been developed using consensus scale approach. Under such an approach the selection of items is made by a panel of judges who evaluate the items in terms of whether they are relevant to the topic area and unambiguous in implication. The detailed procedure is as under:

- (a) The researcher gathers a large number of statements, usually twenty or more, that express various points of view toward a group, institution, idea, or practice (i.e., statements belonging to the topic area).
- (b) These statements are then submitted to a panel of judges, each of whom arranges them in eleven groups or piles ranging from one extreme to another in position. Each of the judges is requested to place generally in the first pile the statements which he thinks are most unfavourable to the issue, in the second pile to place those statements which he thinks are next most unfavourable and he goes on doing so in this manner till in the eleventh pile he puts the statements which he considers to be the most favourable.
- (c) This sorting by each judge yields a composite position for each of the items. In case of marked disagreement between the judges in assigning a position to an item, that item is discarded.

(d) For items that are retained, each is given its median scale value between one and eleven as established by the panel. In other words, the scale value of any one statement is computed as the 'median' position to which it is assigned by the group of judges.

(e) A final selection of statements is then made. For this purpose a sample of statements, whose median scores are spread evenly from one extreme to the other is taken. The statements so selected, constitute the final scale to be administered to respondents. The position of each statement on the scale is the same as determined by the judges. After developing the scale as stated above, the respondents are asked during the administration of the scale to check the statements with which they agree. The median value of the statements that they check is worked out and this establishes their score or quantifies their opinion. It may be noted that in the actual instrument the statements are arranged in random order of scale value. If the values are valid and if the opinionnaire deals with only one attitude dimension, the typical respondent will choose one or several contiguous items (in terms of scale values) to reflect his views. However, at times divergence may occur when a statement appears to tap a different attitude dimension.

The Thurstone method has been widely used for developing differential scales which are utilised to measure attitudes towards varied issues like war, religion, etc. Such scales are considered most appropriate and reliable when used for measuring a single attitude.

But an important deterrent to their use is the cost and effort required to develop them. Another weakness of such scales is that the values assigned to various statements by the judges may reflect their own attitudes.

The method is not completely objective; it involves ultimately subjective decision process. Critics of this method also opine that some other scale designs give more information about the respondent's attitude in comparison to differential scales.

[Email-artirani21nov@gmail.com](mailto:Email-artirani21nov@gmail.com)