CRIMINALIZATION OF POLITICS

Semester2, P.G. Political Science, Patna University, Patna

By – Dr Shefali Roy, Head, Dept. of Political Science, P.U.

Email id- shifalira@gmail.com

MEANING

- Criminalization of politics means criminals entering into politics and contesting elections and even getting elected to parliament, state legislatures and local self government.
- It creates a nexus between politicians and criminals
- Criminals get patronage of politicians and politicians take help of muscle power and money of criminals to win elections
- In course of time criminals felt motivated to contest elections

INDIAN SCENARIO

- It is not a new phenomenon in India; first case of booth capturing was reported as early as 1957 which hired goons to suppress turnouts and in return criminals were protected from prosecution
- Until late 1960s the re election rates of incumbents were high and goons were given political favours
- The cost of contesting elections kept increasing due to rising population and political competitions
- The number of political parties also increased from 55 in 1952 to464 in2014
- Criminalization of politics is a grave problem in India.

KEY FACTS

- 17% of 5,380 candidates contesting elections of 2014 were found prosecuted for criminal charges in their submitted affidavits to the Election Commission
- 10% with serious charges of murder and rape
- Once convicted politicians leave their seat but silently hand it over to kith and kin.
- Proxy rule continues which makes the situation still worse
- Even the situation in India is more or less the same

REASONS

- The first reason is corruption. All political parties put up candidates with criminal background
- Second reason is winnability. Probability of winning becomes strong when criminals contest.
- Majority of voters are purchasable and coward so criminals get upper hand
- There is very little faith in the efficacy of democracy and governance
- Toothless laws against convicted criminals further encourage this process.
- There is a scarcity of state capacity to work on development issues therefore they align on criminal lines

MEASURES TO CURB

- Bringing more transparency in campaign financing
- The Election Commission should have the power to audit the financial accounts of political parties
- Fast track courts are necessary to expedite the process of investigation
- Inculcating moral values and sensitizing politicians are must for breaking the nexus between the politicians and criminals.

SUPREME COURT JUDGMENTS

- The supreme court in Public Interest Foundation vs Union of India,2018 had directed political parties to publish online pending criminal cases of their candidates
- In 2017 supreme court asked centre to frame a scheme to appoint special courts to exclusively try cases against politicians
- In 2013supreme court in Lily Thomas vs Union of India ruled that sitting MP or MLA convicted of a jail term of two years or more would lose their seat in legislature immediately
- In 2013 SC gave to voters an option of NOTA on EVMs
- In2002 SC made obligatory for candidates to file affidavit
- In 2001 SC in a case of Union of India vs. Association for democratic reforms& another....recommended to amend People's Representation Act in order to contain criminals from being elected

INDIAN CONSTITUTION

- Indian Constitution does not specify the disqualifications.
- Section 8 of Peoples Representation Act 1951 talks of prohibiting a convicted for more than two years for a period of six years after the jail term has ended.
- but unfortunately there is very low conviction rate as cases are dragged for many years.

CONSEQUENCES OF CRIMINALIZATION

- The law breakers become law makers so democratic process fails to deliver good governance
- Increased circulation of black money during and after elections
- It introduces a culture of violence in society and sets a bad precedent for the youth of the society.
- Voters develop a feeling of apathy Which is dangerous for the Democracy

CURRENT SITUATION

- 43% MPs have criminal cases
- Tainted 116 elected MPs
- > 204 cases pending against MPs
- All major political parties have tainted MPs
- Cases are very high in Bihar and Bengal
- 34% tainted in 16th Lok Sabha
- Source: <u>https://www.dhyeyaias.com</u> (retrieved on 02.05.2020)

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINALIZATION

- The Vohra Committee was constituted to study the problem of criminalization in politics and nexus between bureaucrats, criminals and politicians after the Mumbai serial blasts in 1993.
- It was headed by N.N. Vohra the then Home Secretary of Gol
- In 1997 Supreme Court recommended the appointment of a high level Committee to ensure in depth investigation of Vohra Committee report.
- Much improvement was not ascertained.

LAW COMMISSION REPORT

Law Commission in its 170th report recommended to make necessary changes under rule 4 of Conduct of Election rules, 1961, debarring a candidate from contesting elections if charges have been framed against him/her by a court in respect of certain offences and necessity for the candidate to furnish details of criminal cases, if any, pending against him.

CONCLUSION

- Democracy furnishes conditions for supremacy of rule of law and ensures administration of criminal justice.
- Process of ballot not bullets should prevail in election process and governance.
- The burden is now on people to take a pledge that they will never vote a person with criminal leanings
- Before the problem magnifies and morality erodes completely a silent revolution is required.

SUGGESTED READINGS

- Introduction to the Constitution of India by Brij Kishore Sharma
- Constitutional Law by D.D. Basu
- India's Constitution by M.V. Pylee
- Indian political system by A. P. Avasthi
- Weblink- iasexamportal.com
- economicstimes.com dt.25.07.2018