

M.S c Mathematics –SEM 2 Number Theor CC-10 Unit 3

E-content 3–By **Dr Abhik Singh**, Guest faculty, PG Department of Mathematics, Patna University, Patna

Content: Reciprocity Law, Jacobi Symbol, Irrational Number,

Reciprocity Law

Theorem: Let P and q be two distinct odd primes then

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2 \cdot (q-1)/2}$$

Proof : We know that

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{1/2(p-1)} [kq/2]}, \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = (-1)^{\sum_{l=1}^{1/2(q-1)} [lp/q]}$$

Then to prove the required result

$$\sum_{k=1}^{1/2(p-1)} [kq/p] + \sum_{l=1}^{1/2(q-1)} [lp/q] = (p-1)/2 (q-1)/2 \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

For this consider the $p-1/2, q-1/2$ integers

$$lp - kq$$

Where $l=1,2,\dots\dots\dots 1/2(q-1)$, $k=1,2,\dots\dots\dots 1/2(p-1)$.

It must be noted that there is no zero among them, because if

$lp = kq$, then $q \nmid lp$ which is not possible.

Now we shall show that among these $p-1/2 \cdot q-1/2$ integers, there are

$\sum_{l=1}^{1/2(q-1)} [lp/q]$ positive integers and $\sum_{k=1}^{1/2(p-1)} [kq/2]$ negative integers

So we see that for a given l , the necessary and sufficient

condition that $lp - kq > 0$ is $lp/q > k$ or $1 \leq k \leq lp/q$

But $lp/q < \frac{q/2p}{q} = 1/2p$

$$\frac{lp}{q} \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$$

Which gives, for any given l , the number of such k 's is $\frac{lp}{q}$.

Therefore the numbers $lp - kq$, there are $\sum_{l=1}^{1/2(q-1)} [lp/q]$ positive integers.

Similarly there are $\sum_{k=1}^{1/2(p-1)} [kq/2]$ negative integers.

Hence (1) exist.

Question . (1) Find (168/11) (ii) Evaluate (-23/59)

JACOBI SYMBOL

Let P be an odd prime integer with prime factorization.

$$P = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n \text{ (possibly } p_i = p_j \text{ for } i \neq j \text{)}$$

$$(a, p) = 1$$

$$\text{So } \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p_1}\right) \left(\frac{a}{p_2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{a}{p_n}\right)$$

Where the symbols $\left(\frac{a}{p_i}\right)$ on the RHS of the equality are Legendre symbols
and the symbol $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ on the LHS is called Jacobi symbol.

Remarks

1. When p is prime, the Jacobi symbol is the same as the Legendre symbol, hence the Jacobi symbol may be considered as a generalization of the Legendre symbol.

2. The value of Jacobi symbol is also 1 or -1.

3. When $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1$, the congruence $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ has no solution

$$4. \left(\frac{1}{p}\right) = 1, \left(\frac{a \cdot a}{p}\right) = 1$$

$$5. \text{ If } a \equiv b \pmod{p}, \text{ then } \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{b}{p}\right)$$

Theorem(1)

$\left(\frac{a}{P}\right)\left(\frac{a}{R}\right)=\left(\frac{a}{PR}\right)$ where R is an odd positive integer.

Proof : Let $R=r_1 r_2 \dots r_t$ where r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t are odd primes no necessarily all distinct. Then by definition of Jacobi symbol

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{a}{P}\right)\left(\frac{a}{R}\right) &= \left(\frac{a}{p_1}\right)\left(\frac{a}{p_2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{a}{p_k}\right)\left(\frac{a}{r_1}\right) \dots \left(\frac{a}{r_t}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{p_1 p_2 \dots r_1 r_2 r_3 \dots r_t}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{PR}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Theorem(2)

Let p be an odd prime and Q any odd positive integer prime to p. then $\left(\frac{Q}{P}\right) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \times (Q-1)/2 \left(\frac{P}{Q}\right)$

Proof : Let $Q=q_1 q_2 \dots q_n$ are all odd primes and not

necessarily all distinct. Then $\left(\frac{Q}{P}\right) = \left(\frac{q_1}{p}\right)\left(\frac{q_2}{p}\right) \dots \left(\frac{q_n}{p}\right)$

By law of quadratic reciprocity we know that it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}& (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \cdot (q_1-1)/2 \left(\frac{p}{q_1}\right) (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \cdot (q_2-1)/2 \left(\frac{p}{q_2}\right) \dots (-1)^{p-1/2} \cdot q_n-1/2 \left(\frac{p}{q_n}\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$= (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \{(q_1-1)/2 + (q_2-1)/2 + \dots + (q_n-1)/2\} \left(\frac{P}{Q}\right)$$

$$= (-1)^{p-1/2} \{(Q-1)/2 + 2u\} \left(\frac{P}{Q}\right)$$

$$= (-1)^{p-1/2} \cdot Q-1/2 \left(\frac{P}{Q}\right)$$

Proved

Irrational Number

An irrational number as a real number which cannot be expressed in the form $\frac{a}{b}$ where a and b stand for integers.

Example- $e, \pi, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt[7]{11}, e^\pi, \pi^e$, etc

1. Prove $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational

Soln : Let us assume that $\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$ for some integers a, b such that $(a, b) = 1$(i)

It follows that $a^2 = 2b^2$ (ii)

This implies that a^2 is even .consequently a is even because if a is odd , a^2 would also be odd.

Let us then put $a = 2a_1$,for some integer a_1 . Then we obtain from (2)

$$4a_1^2 = 2b^2 \text{ or } b^2 = 2a_1^2.$$

Thus both a and b are even .But This contradicts our assumption (1). It follows that $\sqrt{2}$ cannot be expressed in the form $\frac{a}{b}$.

This proves the theorem.

Theorem (1)

If k is a positive integer then e^k is irrational.

Proof Assume that the theorem is false. Hence $e^k = \frac{a}{b}$ for some positive integers a, b .

Let us now consider the definite integral

$$I = b k^{2n+1} \int_0^1 e^{kx} f(x) dx$$

Where $f(x) = x^n(1-x)^n/n!$

Integrating by parts we get

$$I = b k^{2n+1} \left[\frac{e^{kx}}{k} f(x) - \frac{e^{kx}}{k^2} f'(x) + \frac{e^{kx}}{k^3} f''(x) - \dots \right. \\ \left. + \frac{e^{kx}}{k^{2n+1}} f^{(2n)}(x) \right]_0^1$$

Since $f^{(2n+1)}(x) = 0$ for $k > 0$. The general term on the right of the above equality is numerically of the form

$$b k^{2n+1} \left(\frac{e^{kx}}{k^{r+1}} f^{(r)}(x) \right)_0^1 \text{ where } 0 \leq r \leq 2n$$

$$= b k^{2n+1} \left(\frac{1}{k^{r+1}} f^{(r)}(1) - \frac{1}{k^{r+1}} f^{(r)}(0) \right)$$

$$= k^{2n+1}/k^{r+1} \{ f^{(r)}(1) - f^{(r)}(0) \}$$

= an integer

Because $r+1 \leq 2n+1$, and $f^{(r)}(1), f^{(r)}(0)$ are integers

Hence I is an integer for all n (1)

On the other hand $0 < f(x) < 1/n!$, $0 < x < 1$. Therefore

$$0 < e^{kx} f(x) < e^{kx}/n!$$

$$0 < b k^{2n+1} \int_0^1 e^{kx} f(x) dx < b k^{2n+1}/n! \int_0^1 e^{kx} dx$$

$$0 < I < b k^{2n+1}/n! e^k - 1/k$$

$$0 < I < b e^k (k^2)^n/n!$$

But we know that $(k^2)^n/n! \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence $I < 1$ for all sufficiently large value of n .

But this contradicts (1).

It follows that e^k is an irrational.

Assignment: (i) Prove π^2 is irrational. (ii) Prove π is irrational

